Inclusion, Diversity, Access and Equity in Infectious Diseases Fellowship Training: Tools for Program Directors

Vera P. Luther; Alice E. Barsoumian; Victoria JL Konold; Tara Vijayan; Gayle Balha; Constance Benson; Brian Blackburn; Paloma Cariello; Sarah Perloff; Raymund Razonable; Kartikey Acharya; Marwan M. Azar; Nitin Bhanot; Dana Blyth; Saira Butt; Beata Casanas; Brian Chow; Kerry Cleveland; James B. Currell; Diana Finkel; Saumil Doshi; Christopher J. Graber; Aniruddha Hazra; Natasha S. Hochberg; Scott H. James; Anna Kaltas; Rosy Priya L. Kodyianplakkal; Mikyung Lee; Luis Marcos; Alfredo J Mena Lora; Christopher C. Moore; Obina Nnedu; Georgina Osorio; Molly L. Paras; Rebecca Reece; Natalie Mariam Salas; Kamla Sanasi-Bhola; Sara Schultz; Jose A. Serpa; Rachel Shnekeendorf; Scott Weisenberg; Darcy Wootten; Richard A. Zuckerman; Michael Melia; Lisa M. Chirch

1Wake Forest University School of Medicine; 2Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences; 3University of Washington/Seattle Children’s Hospital; 4University of California Los Angeles David Geffen School of Medicine; 5MedStar Georgetown University Hospital; 6University of California San Diego Medical Center; 7Stanford University School of Medicine; 8University of Utah School of Medicine; 9Albert Einstein Healthcare Network; 10Mayo Clinic; 11Medical College of Wisconsin; 12Yale University School of Medicine; 13Allegheny Health Network; 14Walter Reed National Military Medical Center; 15Indiana University School of Medicine; 16University of South Florida Morsani College of Medicine; 17Tufts Medical Center; 18University of Tennessee Health Science Center; 19University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center; 20Saumil Doshi; MedStar Washington Hospital Center; 21Rutgers New Jersey Medical School; 22VA Greater Los Angeles Healthcare System; 23University of Chicago; 24Boston Medical Center; 25The University of Alabama at Birmingham; 26Memorial Sloan Kettering; 27Weill Cornell Medicine; 28Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai - Mount Sinai Hospital; 29Stony

*Michael Melia and Lisa M. Chirch are co-senior authors
Corresponding author: Vera P. Luther, MD; vluther@wakehealth.edu
Alternate corresponding author: Lisa M. Chirch, MD; chirch@uchc.edu

© The Author(s) 2023. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Infectious Diseases Society of America. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial reproduction and distribution of the work, in any medium, provided the original work is not altered or transformed in any way, and that the work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com

DOI: 10.1093/ofid/ofad289
Brook University Hospital; 30University of Illinois College of Medicine; 31University of Virginia School of Medicine; 32Ochsner Clinic; 33Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai; 34Massachusetts General Hospital; 35West Virginia University; 36University of New Mexico School of Medicine; 37University of South Carolina School of Medicine – Columbia; 38Temple University Hospital; 39Baylor College of Medicine; 40Infectious Diseases Society of America; 41New York University Grossman School of Medicine; 42University of California San Diego Medical Center; 43Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center; 44Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine; 45University of Connecticut School of Medicine

The Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) has set clear priorities in recent years to promote inclusion, diversity, access, and equity (IDA&E) in infectious disease (ID) clinical practice, medical education, and research. The IDSA IDA&E Task Force was launched in 2018 to ensure implementation of these principles. The IDSA Training Program Directors Committee met in 2021 and discussed IDA&E best practices as they pertain to the education of ID fellows. Committee members sought to develop specific goals and strategies related to recruitment, clinical training, didactics, and faculty development. This paper represents a presentation of ideas brought forth at the meeting in those spheres and is meant to serve as a reference document for ID training program directors seeking guidance in this area.
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INTRODUCTION:

Health disparities are prevalent, fueled in part by a historical lack of emphasis on diversity and inclusion among medical professionals and in graduate medical education. In 2018, the IDSA Board of Directors launched the Inclusion, Diversity, Access, and Equity (IDA&E) Task Force to enhance racial and gender diversity in ID [1], which is essential in order to provide comprehensive, culturally sensitive, and competent care and educate our fellows to provide the same.

The annual IDWeek Fellowship Training Program Director (PD) meeting provides a forum for PDs to exchange ideas to and subsequently make tangible improvements in their programs. “Diversity, Equity and Inclusion” was selected as the theme of the PD meeting at the 2021 IDWeek meeting. PD committee (PDC) members identified via consensus 4 IDA&E-related topics of relevance to ID fellowship training: fellowship recruitment, clinical training, didactics, and faculty development. Attendees heard presentations on each topic, participated in moderated small group discussions, then reported on consensus discussion points, common challenges, and specific action items PDs could employ. Summary outlines from meeting notes formed the foundation for this manuscript.
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IDA&E in ID Fellowship Recruitment

What are current challenges and solutions regarding IDA&E in ID fellowship recruitment?

Fellowship PDs play a critical role in incorporating IDA&E into training programs and are often tasked with leading efforts to promote IDA&E in recruitment of persons underrepresented in medicine (URiM). Notably, the American Association of Medical Colleges (AAMC) has a specific definitions of URiM, but we acknowledge that there are other groups to consider [2]. Current challenges identified in recruiting URiM and disadvantaged applicants include limitations in specific applicant information available from the Electronic Residency Application Service (ERAS), applicants’ financial limitations, funding limitations based on visa status, and insufficient human resources to properly screen and review applications holistically. Additionally, application reviewers may have inherent biases including, but not limited to, over-reliance on residency program “reputation” and on easily quantifiable but potentially biased metrics (e.g., USMLE exam scores, number of publications, abstract presentations). Standardized tests scores have not been shown to correlate with clinical performance, are more likely to be influenced by parental income than any other measure[3, 4] and carry bias against under-represented minorities[5]. Readers and writers of letters of recommendation (LORs) may also not fully consider concepts such as life distance traveled (accounting for barriers individuals face through their educational journey)[6]. The strength and language of LORs may introduce bias against URiM and other candidates which can perpetuate disadvantages within the application system[7]. As such, training faculty and establishing a guide for the holistic review of applicants is essential[8, 9].

To attract URiM and other diverse applicants, programs should demonstrate a clear commitment to addressing systemic inequities in healthcare by establishing an IDA&E committee and/or appointing a dedicated faculty advocate, as well as developing educational curricula that provide insight into racism and inequities in medicine[10]. Partnering with other department of medicine subspecialty fellowship programs, parent programs (internal medicine), institutional GME offices, diversity offices, and/or faculty affairs offices can be beneficial to advance IDA&E work and showcase it for recruitment. Additional strategies to promote IDA&E in recruitment are presented in Table 1.

IDA&E in Clinical Training

How can IDA&E education be integrated into ID fellowship clinical training?

The clinical training of ID fellows can be structured to augment their education related to IDA&E topics. First, training in a variety of clinical environments (e.g., university-based, Veterans Administration, community-based, and/or county hospitals/clinics) will expose fellows to multiple patient cohorts that differ by racial, ethnic, socioeconomic, gender, health literacy and other characteristics thereby providing rich and diverse perspectives and experiences, especially when paired with IDA&E case-based teaching. Additionally, caring for patients with
diverse social and economic challenges can result in a variety of informative educational experiences that enhance awareness of IDA&E issues and promote better care. IDA&E focused quality improvement or research projects to improve patient care can be developed (e.g. devising mechanisms to improve access to intravenous antibiotics). ID fellows can learn about and address barriers to care through multidisciplinary rounds or meetings. Further, ID programs can partner with other specialty areas such as addiction medicine or endocrinology (e.g. to enhance gender affirming care) to help further patient care goals.

Continuity clinics for people with HIV (PLWH) provide fellows with opportunities to care for a diverse panel of patients often challenged by health inequities. Programs can incorporate IDA&E -related education by emphasizing certain relevant aspects of patient care, such as the most appropriate and culturally sensitive way to address patients, use of preferred pronouns, addressing social, economic, and other barriers to care and developing strategies to improve linkage, retention to care and access to antiretroviral therapy. Table 2 delineates strategies to promote IDA&E in different programmatic settings.

**IDA&E in ID Fellowship Didactics**

*How can IDA&E education be integrated into ID fellowship didactics?*

Curricula for fellowship training programs should include didactic coursework and self-directed learning related to IDA&E that target both fellows and faculty as learners. IDA&E core topics include implicit bias, microaggressions, social determinants of health, health disparities and health equity, racism in medicine, communication skills for building sexual, sociocultural and substance use histories, and IDA&E in research. In addition, every core lecture could be evaluated for the potential to include relevant IDA&E core topics (for example, *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia and caring for patients who inject drugs). A needs assessment of the fellows about their knowledge, attitudes, and skills regarding IDA&E issues can be helpful to identify areas upon which to focus efforts and guide the development of initiatives to enhance IDA&E training.

Programs can make efficient use of preexisting resources such as content from the MedEdPORTAL Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Collection[11] and infrastructure such as programs developed by GME offices. Cross-specialty or institutional IDA&E committees can also serve as resources for individual programs and may be an effective option for curriculum development and delivery. Table 2 describes strategies to incorporate IDA&E in the didactic setting.
IDA&E and Faculty Development

What approaches can be used for IDA&E-focused faculty development?

Faculty development in IDA&E is an essential component of a successful fellowship training program so that all faculty can model IDA&E principles, serve as champions for IDA&E initiatives and be tasked with incorporating these principles into the training program curricula[12, 13]. Because faculty members differ in their past experiences, perceptions of cultural norms, and comfort with addressing or modifying the tradition of an institution’s practices, there may be varying levels of acceptance of IDA&E issues. Acknowledging this variability can inform the development of multifaceted options for IDA&E training that seek to meet people where they are, reduce the barriers to learning, and move everyone toward greater acceptance of the value of these initiatives. Importantly, leadership engagement, commitment and support are critical for successful faculty development IDA&E initiatives. IDA&E should be reflected in the organization’s strategic plan, goals, and metrics[14].

CONCLUSIONS:

To recruit and train a diverse workforce that is well-prepared to address disparities and meet the challenges of modern healthcare, ID fellowship program directors must integrate IDA&E best practices into recruitment, clinical training, didactic curricula, and faculty development efforts. Organization and leadership commitment to and support of IDA&E are essential for an ID fellowship program’s success.

Patient Consent Statement: This manuscript is a Perspectives that does not include clinical data. The design of the work conforms to current U.S. standards and is considered IRB exempt.

TABLES AND FIGURES:

Table 1: Strategies to promote inclusion, diversity, access and equity throughout ID fellowship recruitment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interview Stage</th>
<th>Recommended Strategies</th>
<th>Rationale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Pre-Interview   | ● Faculty development for application screeners and/or interviewers highlighting concepts of life “distance traveled,” life experiences, cultural background in LOR; recognize systemic bias in standardized testing  
● Have several diverse screeners for applications  
● Create a scoring system which includes a measure of life “distance traveled” and | ● The initial focus of applicant reviews should be holistic, defined by the AAMC as “mission-aligned admissions or selection processes that take into consideration applicants’ experiences, attributes, and academic metrics as well as the value an applicant would contribute to learning, practice, and teaching” [5]. |
potential inequities in mentorship and research opportunities

- Implicit Bias training for reviewers and interviewers (including potential bias related to a candidate’s appearance, accent, background, and/or internet connection)[15]
- Train interviewers on interview assessment tools
- Host a virtual URiM specific recruitment event (can combined with other local programs)
- Fellowship website information including links to IDA&E initiatives, an inclusive mission statement and opportunities available for visa-holders
- Website and social media posts screened for IDA&E to ensure they promote equity and are free from bias

| Interview day | Conduct virtual interviews to reduce financial strain on candidates
| ~ Highlight the importance of recruiting a diverse workforce and IDA&E initiatives
| ~ Use standardized interview questions aligned with mission statement
| ~ Consider including interview “teams” of at least 2 faculty members with diverse representation to reduce bias
| ~ Make videos highlighting program information, training tracks, faculty profiles accessible on program website
| ~ Consider matching URI: M: applicants with at least one URI M: faculty
| ~ Consider matching URI: M: applicants with URI M: fellows who can share personal experience and insights
| ~ Have interviewers submit same-day assessments of candidates to avoid recall and other biases

| Post-Interview | Address and discuss biases which come up during rank meeting in real time
| ~ Have IDA&E representation during rank meeting
| ~ Discuss URI: M: candidates early in the rank meeting
| ~ Discuss what a candidate may add to a program’s culture rather than their “fit” into the current culture
| ~ Presenting all candidates, both URI: M: and non-URI: M: by sharing their portfolio in a narrative format (narrative review) may improve the committee’s understanding of their own biases.
| ~ Discussing candidates early in the rank meeting and discussing what a candidate may add to the program culture can help mitigate bias.
| ~ Programs should ensure the routine consideration of life experiences and disadvantages overcome when evaluating all applicants.

| Fellow Retention | Ensure an inclusive training environment
| ~ Implement IDA&E principles into didactic and training curricula
| ~ Have a diverse pool of near-peer and faculty mentors
| ~ Funding mechanisms and visa support for educational and employment opportunities for non-citizens
| ~ An inclusive and supportive learning environment is essential for fellow well-being
| ~ Programs and institutions should demonstrate a clear commitment to IDA&E

* Consideration should be given to life distance traveled[6]. Examples of these life experiences include being a first generation college student, needing to work to pay for school, and having been a primary caregiver for a family member.

* Consider how those with privilege have used their opportunities and how they can contribute to the mission of the fellowship program (for example, commitment to working with underserved communities).

* Engaging multiple and diverse initial reviewers per application can ensure one person’s biases do not adversely impact who is invited to interview.

* The COVID-19 pandemic shifted fellowship interviews to the virtual space. Virtual interviews are considerably less expensive and less time consuming for applicants. Programs should maintain a virtual interview model to mitigate potential biases related to the financial burden of the interview process.

* The use of standardized interview questions, including behavioral or situational questions enhances the reliability, validity, and fairness of interviews as compared with non-standardized questions[12].
Table 2. Strategies to promote inclusion, diversity, access, and equity in fellowship training by primary programmatic setting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Programmatic Setting</th>
<th>Recommended Strategies and Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inpatient</td>
<td>• Encourage rotations through a range of patient care settings; programs with a more limited number of clinical environments could consider partnering with other institutions to broaden rotation opportunities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambulatory</td>
<td>• Incorporate IDA&amp;E-related education into HIV continuity clinic and other ambulatory experiences.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical care programs and collaborations</td>
<td>• Develop institutional programs aimed at allowing better access to outpatient intravenous antibiotics or expensive oral antimicrobials. • Encourage multidisciplinary rounds and huddles (including clinicians, social workers, and case management experts) at different clinical sites, both in the inpatient and outpatient setting. • Partner with other specialty areas such as addiction medicine or endocrinology (e.g. to enhance transgender care).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program administration</td>
<td>• Utilize QI initiatives to raise awareness of IDA&amp;E issues (e.g. assessing race-based differences in cervical cancer screening rates among PLWH and developing an intervention to address findings). • Convene an IDA&amp;E Committee within the fellowship program’s ID division with a clear mission and goals related to enhancing patient care. • Partner with an institution’s DOM, SOM, or GME to share resources devoted to IDA&amp;E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty development</td>
<td>• Achieve common commitment to IDA&amp;E. • Motivate buy-in with data-driven approaches to establish the need for curricula and initiatives and demonstrate positive outcomes. • Narrative and case-based discussions can highlight the impact IDA&amp;E issues have on trainees and patients[16]. • Train faculty and staff on the use of inclusive and bias-free language to promote health equity[17]. • Align with organization’s strategic plan, goals and metrics[18]. • Content should include IDA&amp;E core topics: implicit bias, microaggressions, bystander training, cultural humility, social determinants of health, health disparities and health equity, racism in medicine, communication skills for building sexual, sociocultural and substance use histories, and IDA&amp;E in research.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didactic curriculum</td>
<td>• Cross-specialty/interprofessional case-based conferences specifically focused on social determinants of health, health disparities and how to address these at the micro (individual) and macro (health systems) levels. • IDA&amp;E topics integrated into pre-existing conferences (e.g., Grand Rounds, morning report, specialty case conferences). • Quality improvement projects focused on evaluating or addressing IDA&amp;E. • Research training focused on IDA&amp;E (how to incorporate IDA&amp;E in research study designs, grant applications, research projects aimed at IDA&amp;E topics, etc.). • Simulation exercises highlighting IDA&amp;E issues (including, but not limited to, how to address microaggressions). • Adapt and integrate IDA&amp;E curricula published in peer-reviewed literature to fellowship activities (e.g. MedEdPORTAL Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Collection[11]).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td></td>
</tr>
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